

Corrigendum

Due to an editorial error in the article “Everybody Knows Parapsychology Is Not a Real Science’ – Public Understanding of Parapsychology” by Renaud Evrard (*JAnom/ZfA* 2021/2, pp. 437–462), the two headings of columns 2 and 3 from Table 5 on p. 456 is unfortunately not correct. We apologize for any inconvenience. Table 5 with the correct headings can be found here.

Leider wurden durch ein redaktionelles Missgeschick in dem Aufsatz „Everybody Knows Parapsychology Is Not a Real Science’ – Public Understanding of Parapsychology“ von Renaud Evrard (*JAnom/ZfA* 2021/2, S. 437–462) die beiden Überschriften der Spalten 2 und 3 von Tabelle 5 auf S. 456 nicht korrekt wiedergegeben. Wir bitten dies zu entschuldigen. Die Tabelle 5 mit den korrekten Überschriften finden Sie hier.

Key-knowledge	Number of correct answers and absolute score of all participants (n = 89)	Number of correct answers and absolute score of Proponents participants (n = 26)	Number of correct answers and absolute score of Skeptics participants (n = 26)
Name parapsychologist	33 (37%)	17 (65%)	10 (38%)
Experimental paradigm	15 (17%)	9 (35%)	4 (15%)
Theoretical model	7 (8%)	5 (19%)	1 (4%)
Journal	16 (18%)	10 (38%)	4 (15%)
Progress	10 (11%)	8 (31%)	2 (8%)
Name skeptic	50 (56%)	14 (54%)	20 (77%)
Experiment by a skeptic	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Training	10 (11%)	8 (31%)	2 (8%)
Reference book	21 (24%)	12 (46%)	6 (23%)

Table 5. Comparison of absolute scores on key knowledge items between total participants, proponents and skeptics.